

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,623	ARBUCKLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard D. Lovering	1712	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** AMENDED

 (1) Richard D. Lovering.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) MICHAEL M. GEOFFREY

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** SEPT. 23, 2003
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:** 2
**Claims discussed:** 3-7; AND 13, 20, 25, 33 AND 41.
**Prior art documents discussed:** NONE
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: EXR WAS AUTHORIZED TO MAKE FOLLOWING CHANGES BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT: (1) IN LINE 1 OF ALL OF CLAIMS 3-7, CANCEL "SOLUTION" AND INSERT "-- BISPHENOLIC COMPOSITION --" IN LIEU THEREOF; AND (2) IN LINE 2 OF ALL OF CLAIMS 5, 20, 25, 33 AND 41, CANCEL "AND" AND INSERT "--" IN LIEU THEREOF, AND AFTER "TOLUENE", INSERT "-- AND MIXTURES THEREOF --".

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Richard D. Lovering  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)